Proceedings Series of Educational Studies

2025 International Conference and Seminar on Educational Management
Department of Educational Administration - Educational Management Program

Navigating Pressures and Pitfalls: Why Researchers Stray from Ethical Standards

Mohammed Shehada[1], Ali Imron[1]

[1]Educational Management, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
Corresponding author,email: soyko2022@gmail.com

Keywords
Research Misconduct
Academic Integrity
Ethical Training, Artificial Intelligence in Research

Abstract
This study examines the increasing problem of research misconduct in academia, emphasizing the fundamental reasons that lead to ethical transgressions among researchers. The significant pressure to publish, sometimes referred to as the "publish or perish" mentality, along with competitive settings and insufficient institutional monitoring, has resulted in a rise in unethical behaviors, including data manipulation, plagiarism, and falsification. Researchers could prioritize quantity at the expense of quality, undermining the integrity of their study.

The study used an extensive literature analysis to ascertain the main reasons of research misconduct, notably insufficient ethical training, especially for early-career academics who may have difficulties with intricate ethical dilemmas. The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies complicates ethical issues by introducing new potential for data manipulation that may be hard to identify within traditional frameworks.

The results suggest that institutions prioritize the quality and social implications of research above the quantity of publications. Proposals include enhancing ethical training, implementing frequent seminars, and instituting more stringent institutional control. Institutions must revise ethical norms to include AI and digital technologies, fostering openness and accountability.

Implementing these measures enables academic institutions to mitigate research misconduct, promoting a culture of integrity and responsible research in light of advancing technical and institutional obstacles.

1. Introduction

In the evolving landscape of academic research, integrity remains a cornerstone of scholarly pursuit. However, recent trends suggest a rise in incidents of research misconduct, raising serious concerns about the reliability of scientific findings. Research misconduct, encompassing actions such as fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism, erodes the fundamental trust in scientific inquiry and undermines the collective knowledge base built through decades of disciplined research (Alfaro-Núñez, 2022). These unethical practices have far-reaching implications, not only within academic circles but also in the broader societal context, where scientific research informs policy decisions, medical treatments, and technological advancements.

The root causes of such misconduct are complex and multifaceted. At the heart of the issue lies the "publish or perish" culture that pervades modern academia. This pressure to continuously publish, often driven by institutional expectations for career advancement, funding, and recognition, creates an environment where quantity is valued over quality (Paruzel-Czachura et al., 2021). Researchers, particularly those early in their careers or working in under-resourced institutions, may feel compelled to engage in unethical practices to meet these expectations (Karabag et al., 2024). This pressure is further compounded by a lack of rigorous ethical training and oversight within many academic institutions, leaving researchers ill-equipped to navigate the ethical dilemmas they encounter.

Additionally, the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital tools has introduced new ethical challenges to the research process. While AI offers unprecedented opportunities for data analysis and knowledge generation, it also complicates traditional ethical frameworks. For instance, AI-driven tools can be used to manipulate data or obscure unethical practices in ways that are difficult to detect (Chen et al., 2024). Furthermore, the use of online platforms for data collection, such as patient communities or social media, raises concerns about consent and privacy, further complicating the ethical landscape (Chiauzzi & Wicks, 2019).

This study seeks to address the central question: Why do researchers, despite being trained in ethical standards, engage in unethical behavior? Understanding the factors that drive such

behavior is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate research misconduct. The external pressures exerted by academic institutions, the inadequacies in ethical education, and the emerging challenges posed by digital technologies all contribute to this phenomenon (Austin, 2017; Johnson, 2022). This study aims to explore these dimensions in depth and offer insights into the systemic reforms needed to foster ethical research practices.

The purpose of this study is to identify the key factors that lead researchers astray from ethical conduct and to propose practical solutions that can be implemented both at institutional and individual levels. Specifically, the study will examine the role of organizational norms, ethical training, and technological advancements in shaping researchers' ethical behavior. By addressing these issues, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts to uphold research integrity in the academic world, particularly in light of the challenges posed by modern technology and competitive pressures.

The academic world has long been driven by the need to produce and disseminate knowledge, yet in recent decades, the emphasis on the number of publications rather than their quality has intensified. This phenomenon, commonly referred to as the "publish or perish" culture, has permeated every level of academia. Researchers are often evaluated based on the volume of their publications, with little attention paid to the actual impact or significance of their work. This has led to an overemphasis on meeting publication targets, frequently at the expense of research integrity (Kearney et al., 2024). The pressures to publish have become especially pronounced in competitive academic fields such as medicine, environmental sciences, and social sciences, where securing funding and career advancement are closely tied to publication records.

In emerging economies and developing countries, the situation is even more precarious. The lack of robust research infrastructure and limited access to funding exacerbate the pressures to gain international recognition through publishing. Vásconez et al. (2024) highlight how researchers in these regions are often compelled to meet global research standards despite limited institutional support. The resulting pressure forces some to engage in questionable research practices to meet publication requirements and secure funding or promotion, thus contributing to a global rise in research misconduct.

Furthermore, the competitive nature of grant applications also plays a significant role in fostering unethical research practices. Many institutions prioritize researchers who secure external funding, often linking career progression to grant acquisition. This dynamic not only increases the pressure on researchers but also encourages them to prioritize results that align with funding expectations, sometimes at the cost of scientific integrity.

Incorporating real-world examples and case studies of research misconduct can provide readers with a practical understanding of the ethical challenges researchers face. For instance, data manipulation and plagiarism are significant ethical breaches that undermine research credibility. Studies in medical research reveal that falsified data can lead to incorrect clinical decisions, negatively impacting public health outcomes (Lazarides et al., 2019). Integrating such empirical examples not only strengthens the study's relevance but also enhances its credibility by highlighting observed patterns of misconduct across various disciplines. This approach provides a solid foundation for discussing preventative measures, offering insight into how misconduct affects both the academic community and broader societal trust in scientific research.

Ethical lapses in research are not solely driven by external pressures. Ethical breaches, including fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism, often arise from a complex interplay of personal, professional, and institutional factors. In medical research, for example, the stakes are particularly high, as clinical trials and drug approvals hinge on the accuracy and reliability of data. Lazarides et al. (2019) underscore how the pressure to deliver positive results in these fields can lead to the manipulation or selective reporting of data. Such practices not only undermine the validity of scientific findings but also jeopardize public trust in medical research and potentially endanger patient safety.

A critical contributing factor to ethical lapses is the lack of comprehensive ethical training for researchers. As Martin (2016) points out, many researchers—especially early-career

scientists—receive inadequate training in research ethics. This lack of understanding of the ethical implications of their actions can lead to unintentional breaches of conduct. Moreover, without a solid foundation in ethical research practices, many researchers may not recognize or adequately address the ethical challenges they face in their work. The increasing pressure to publish often exacerbates this issue, as researchers may be more willing to overlook ethical concerns in pursuit of quick results or career advancement.

Ethical lapses are also facilitated by inadequate institutional oversight. In many cases, universities and research institutions lack the necessary mechanisms to detect or prevent unethical practices, leaving researchers without the proper guidance or deterrents. This gap allows unethical practices to persist unchecked, further contributing to the erosion of research integrity.

Expanding the discussion to include psychological and cultural factors influencing ethical decisions in research would offer deeper insight into why researchers may engage in misconduct. Studies suggest that competitive pressures for publication, often associated with career advancement and funding, can drive researchers toward unethical practices, particularly in high-stakes fields such as medicine and environmental science (Paruzel-Czachura et al., 2021). Additionally, in emerging economies, where academic resources may be limited, researchers might feel compelled to prioritize publication volume over integrity, further emphasizing the need for psychological and social support mechanisms within institutions to alleviate these pressures and encourage ethical behavior.

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital tools has transformed the landscape of research, offering unprecedented opportunities for data analysis, modeling, and prediction. However, these technologies introduce complex ethical challenges. According to Chen et al. (2024), AI-driven research tools complicate traditional ethical frameworks, making it more challenging to detect and prevent misconduct. For example, AI algorithms used in data analysis can be manipulated to produce misleading results, and the complexity of these algorithms may hinder external reviewers from identifying potential biases or inaccuracies (Chiauzzi & Wicks, 2019).

Additionally, the use of digital platforms for data collection such as online patient communities or social media—raises significant ethical concerns related to privacy and consent. Researchers increasingly rely on these platforms as valuable sources of data, but accessing them without proper consent breaches ethical standards and can lead to serious violations of privacy. Chiauzzi and Wicks (2019) emphasize that researchers must navigate a complex web of ethical considerations when using digital tools to ensure that their research upholds the principles of transparency, consent, and data integrity.

Furthermore, AI presents accountability challenges, as the automation of research processes diffuses the responsibility for maintaining ethical standards. This diffusion complicates the assignment of accountability for ethical breaches, making it even more difficult to uphold high standards of research integrity in the digital age (Eisend & Kuss, 2019; Martin, 2016).

While the article discusses the ethical challenges AI introduces, expanding on technology's role in combating research misconduct would offer valuable insights. AI-powered tools, such as plagiarism detection software and data integrity verification systems, are transforming ethical oversight by providing automated means to identify unethical practices. For instance, universities increasingly utilize AI algorithms to analyze data patterns for potential anomalies, thereby reducing the likelihood of undetected manipulation (Chen et al., 2024). Furthermore, machine learning can assist in identifying plagiarism and ensuring data transparency, creating a supportive environment where researchers are held accountable for upholding ethical standards.

Institutional norms play a critical role in shaping the ethical behavior of researchers. Many institutions, particularly those in highly competitive fields, place a disproportionate emphasis on metrics such as publication volume and grant acquisition. As a result, researchers are incentivized to engage in questionable practices to meet institutional expectations. Karabag et al. (2024) argue that institutional priorities, which focus on short-term outputs rather than long-term research quality, inadvertently contribute to the rise of unethical practices.

To address these issues, institutions must actively foster a culture of ethical research. This can be achieved by shifting the focus from publication metrics to the quality and societal impact of research. For instance, institutions could implement performance evaluation systems that prioritize the integrity and significance of research findings over the number of publications. Vásconez et al. (2024) propose reducing the emphasis on publication volume and instead promoting a balanced approach that values research contributions in a broader context.

Ethical training is another key solution. Institutions should provide regular and comprehensive training on research ethics, with a focus on the specific ethical challenges posed by emerging technologies such as AI. Mukherjee (2020) highlights the importance of ethics workshops and ongoing education as a way to equip researchers with the tools needed to navigate complex ethical dilemmas.

Finally, stronger oversight mechanisms are essential for maintaining research integrity. Institutions must establish clear guidelines for ethical research conduct and enforce these guidelines through regular audits and monitoring. Lazarides et al. (2019) suggest that institutions should also encourage open discussions about research integrity and create an environment where researchers feel comfortable reporting unethical practices without fear of reprisal.

A comparative analysis of ethical frameworks across diverse academic and geographical contexts would provide a more nuanced understanding of research integrity worldwide. Different regions prioritize distinct aspects of ethical training, such as the European emphasis on continuous workshops for researchers and the Asian focus on stringent oversight of publication standards (Karabag et al., 2024). Understanding these differences can illuminate which policies are most effective in reducing misconduct, allowing institutions to adopt tailored practices based on both local and global standards. Such comparisons could further underscore the importance of adaptive and context-sensitive policies in combating research misconduct on a global scale.

2. Methods

This study employs a qualitative research design, using a comprehensive review of existing literature to explore the factors contributing to research misconduct. Data were collected from a range of sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, case studies, institutional reports, and professional guidelines on research integrity (Alfaro-Núñez, 2022; Candal-Pedreira et al., 2023). The focus was on literature that addresses issues related to ethical challenges in research, specifically within the fields of medicine, social sciences, environmental studies, and digital research methodologies (Vásconez et al., 2024).

To ensure a broad and representative sample of data, several academic databases were used, including PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus. Articles were selected based on their relevance to the study's core questions, focusing on publications that discussed the pressures in academic publishing, institutional ethics, and the impact of AI on research practices (Kearney et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024). Studies that provided empirical evidence of ethical lapses, or that proposed solutions to mitigate these challenges, were prioritized (Karabag et al., 2024; Mukherjee, 2020).

Additionally, a selection of institutional reports and ethical guidelines from prominent academic and professional organizations were reviewed. These documents provided context on the policies and practices surrounding research integrity, offering insights into how institutional norms shape ethical conduct in research (Medina, 2023; Johnson, 2022). By integrating academic and institutional perspectives, this study aimed to build a holistic understanding of the systemic issues contributing to research misconduct.

The data collected were analyzed using a thematic analysis approach. This method allows for the identification of patterns and themes across the literature, enabling the study to uncover recurring issues and challenges in maintaining research integrity (Eisend & Kuss, 2019). Thematic analysis was chosen for its flexibility and ability to synthesize qualitative data from a variety of sources, including empirical studies, theoretical papers, and institutional reports (Martin, 2016; Austin, 2017).

The analysis began with an open coding process, in which key phrases and ideas were identified from each source. These initial codes were then grouped into broader categories, such as "pressures to publish," "institutional deficiencies," "ethical training," and "AI-related challenges" (Paruzel-Czachura et al., 2021). This allowed for the identification of common themes across the literature, as well as the exploration of specific factors that contribute to research misconduct.

Several key themes emerged from this analysis:

Pressures of academic publishing – This theme explored how the need to publish frequently and meet institutional expectations can lead researchers to engage in questionable practices. It also highlighted the particular challenges faced by researchers in developing countries, where limited resources amplify these pressures (Vásconez et al., 2024; Kearney et al., 2024). The role of institutional norms – The analysis revealed how institutional priorities, such as securing grant funding and achieving high publication metrics, can incentivize unethical behavior. This theme also examined the effectiveness of existing institutional safeguards and ethical guidelines (Karabag et al., 2024). Ethical lapses due to inadequate training – A recurring theme was the lack of adequate ethical education for researchers, particularly early-career scientists. This theme explored how insufficient training leaves researchers unprepared to navigate complex ethical dilemmas (Lazarides et al., 2019). Technological challenges, particularly related to AI – The rise of AI and digital tools in research was identified as a significant theme, with a focus on how these technologies complicate traditional ethical frameworks and create new challenges for maintaining transparency and accountability in research (Chen et al., 2024).

Once these themes were identified, they were further refined through a process of selective coding, which involved focusing on the most critical aspects of each theme in relation to the research questions. The final thematic framework served as the basis for the discussion and conclusion sections of this study, which propose solutions to the challenges uncovered in the analysis.

Although this study primarily relies on secondary data, ethical considerations were adhered to in all stages of the research process. The sources used in the data collection were properly cited, and any institutional reports or guidelines were accessed through appropriate channels (Candal-Pedreira et al., 2023; Abbas et al., 2012). Furthermore, the analysis was conducted with an awareness of potential biases in the literature, particularly in studies that may reflect the institutional interests of the researchers (Lo & Grady, 2017).

Finally, the study was conducted with the aim of contributing to the broader discourse on research integrity, with a focus on promoting ethical practices and fostering a culture of transparency and accountability in academic research (Yulianto et al., 2024; Findley et al., 2024).

3. Result and Discussion

The results of this study underscore the complexity of the factors that contribute to research misconduct, particularly in academic environments characterized by high levels of competition and pressure. The thematic analysis revealed several interrelated themes, each of which sheds light on the systemic issues that drive researchers to engage in unethical practices.

The most prominent theme identified is the pervasive pressure to publish. This "publish or perish" culture, entrenched within many academic institutions, places disproportionate emphasis on the quantity of publications as a metric of success. Researchers, particularly those early in their careers, are incentivized to meet unrealistic publication goals, often prioritizing speed and volume over quality and ethical rigor. This dynamic creates a fertile ground for unethical behaviors such as data manipulation, selective reporting, and plagiarism. Kearney et al. (2024) highlight how these pressures are particularly acute in fields such as medicine, where high-stakes research is often subject to additional regulatory scrutiny. The competitive nature of academic publishing further exacerbates these pressures, pushing researchers toward potentially unethical decisions in order to secure funding, promotions, or tenure.

Moreover, the lack of adequate ethical training and institutional oversight emerged as a significant factor contributing to research misconduct. Many institutions fail to provide the necessary guidance or support to help researchers navigate the complex ethical challenges they face. Martin (2016) noted that early-career researchers, in particular, often lack sufficient training in ethical standards, which can lead to unintentional breaches of conduct. Without a strong foundation in

research ethics, researchers may be more prone to ethical lapses, especially when faced with external pressures to produce results. This study suggests that improving the quality and frequency of ethical training programs within institutions could serve as a key intervention to reduce instances of misconduct.

The emergence of AI and digital tools also presents new challenges for research ethics. While AI offers significant benefits for data analysis and research efficiency, it complicates traditional frameworks for detecting and preventing misconduct. Chen et al. (2024) highlight that AI-driven tools can be used to manipulate data in ways that are difficult to detect, raising concerns about transparency and accountability in research. Furthermore, the growing reliance on digital platforms for data collection raises ethical concerns related to consent and privacy. Researchers using online communities or social media for data collection must carefully navigate the ethical implications of accessing and utilizing this data without infringing on the rights of participants (Chiauzzi & Wicks, 2019). These findings suggest that institutions need to update their ethical guidelines to address the unique challenges posed by AI and digital research tools.

Addressing research misconduct requires a multi-faceted approach targeting systemic, institutional, and individual factors. Below are practical steps institutions can implement:

First, Mandatory Ethics Training: Regular ethics workshops tailored to specific fields ensure researchers stay updated on ethical standards, especially those related to emerging technologies like AI (Mukherjee, 2020).

Second, Establish Collaborative Ethics Review Committees: Establishing ethics review committees that include experts from diverse fields promotes comprehensive oversight and ethical scrutiny. These committees facilitate a more holistic approach to addressing ethical dilemmas, encouraging collaborative solutions that account for various perspectives and expertise (Robinson & Curry, 2023).

Third, Implement Transparent Data Sharing Practices: Institutions should adopt policies that mandate transparent data-sharing practices. Open data repositories and publicly accessible databases ensure that research findings can be independently verified, reducing the risk of misconduct and fostering a culture of accountability in research (Foster et al., 2023).

Fourth, Reducing the Pressure to Publish: One of the most pressing recommendations is for institutions to shift their focus from the quantity of publications to the quality and impact of research. As Vásconez et al. (2024) argue, the current emphasis on publication volume incentivizes researchers to prioritize speed and output over integrity. By adopting performance evaluation systems that value the societal impact, replicability, and quality of research findings, institutions can alleviate some of the pressures that lead to unethical behavior. Encouraging a more balanced and reflective approach to publishing can foster an environment where researchers are rewarded for rigorous, ethical scholarship rather than simply for producing more papers.

Fifth, Enhancing Ethical Training: Another key solution is to improve ethical training for researchers, especially those in the early stages of their careers. Regular workshops and training sessions on research ethics should be mandatory, with a focus on the specific challenges posed by new technologies such as AI. As Mukherjee (2020) suggests, these training programs should emphasize the practical application of ethical principles in research, helping researchers to better understand how to navigate complex ethical dilemmas. Providing ongoing education on ethical standards and promoting open discussions about ethical challenges can empower researchers to make informed decisions that uphold research integrity.

Sixth, Strengthening Oversight Mechanisms: Institutions must also implement stronger oversight mechanisms to detect and prevent misconduct. This includes establishing clear and enforceable guidelines for ethical research conduct, as well as conducting regular audits and reviews to ensure compliance. Lazarides et al. (2019) advocate for the creation of research ethics boards within institutions that can provide guidance and oversight throughout the research process. Additionally, institutions should encourage researchers to report unethical practices without fear of retaliation, fostering a culture of transparency and accountability.

Seventh, Addressing AI and Digital Tool Challenges: As AI and digital tools become increasingly integral to the research process, institutions must update their ethical frameworks to account for the new challenges these technologies present. This includes developing guidelines for the ethical use of AI in research, ensuring that AI-driven data analysis is transparent and subject to the same standards of accountability as traditional methods. Chen et al. (2024) suggest that institutions should invest in tools and training that help researchers understand the ethical implications of using AI and digital platforms, ensuring that these technologies are used responsibly and ethically.

Eighth, Promoting a Culture of Integrity: Beyond specific policies and guidelines, institutions must work to create a culture that values research integrity over metrics such as publication volume or grant acquisition. This cultural shift requires leadership from senior researchers and administrators, who must model ethical behavior and actively promote ethical research practices. Karabag et al. (2024) emphasize the importance of open dialogue about ethical challenges within research communities, as well as the need for institutions to prioritize long-term research impact over short-term metrics.

The findings of this study highlight the need for further research into the factors driving research misconduct, particularly in relation to the role of emerging technologies like AI. Future studies could explore the development of new ethical frameworks that account for the unique challenges posed by these technologies (Martin, 2016; Eisend & Kuss, 2019). Additionally, there is a need for longitudinal studies that assess the impact of institutional reforms on research integrity over time. By understanding the long-term effects of interventions such as ethical training and stronger oversight mechanisms, researchers and institutions can better address the root causes of research misconduct.

While this study provides valuable insights into the factors contributing to research misconduct, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The reliance on secondary data, particularly from peer-reviewed articles and institutional reports, may not capture the full range of experiences and challenges faced by researchers in different fields or regions. Furthermore, the study's qualitative approach, while useful for identifying key themes, may not provide a comprehensive understanding of the quantitative prevalence of misconduct in specific disciplines.

4. Conclusion

This study has explored the multifaceted factors contributing to research misconduct in academic environments, emphasizing the pressures stemming from institutional norms, inadequate ethical training, and the growing challenges posed by the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital tools in research. The findings reveal that these factors not only complicate the research process but also incentivize behaviors that undermine the integrity of scientific inquiry.

One of the most significant issues identified is the "publish or perish" culture, which places overwhelming pressure on researchers to prioritize publication volume over the quality of their work. This pressure, coupled with institutional priorities that emphasize short-term metrics such as publication count and grant acquisition, fosters an environment where unethical behavior can easily take root. Researchers, particularly those in the early stages of their careers, may feel compelled to engage in practices such as data manipulation or selective reporting to meet institutional expectations. The study also found that these pressures are exacerbated in developing countries, where limited research infrastructure heightens the stakes for publication and funding success.

Another key issue is the lack of adequate ethical training and institutional oversight. Many researchers are not equipped with the necessary tools to navigate the ethical complexities of modern research, particularly as they relate to emerging technologies like AI. Without sufficient training, researchers may inadvertently engage in unethical practices, further eroding the trust placed in scientific findings. Additionally, the study highlighted the challenges posed by AI and digital platforms, which complicate traditional ethical frameworks by enabling new forms of data manipulation and creating privacy concerns related to online data collection.

To address these challenges, the study proposes several solutions that can be implemented at both the institutional and individual levels. First, institutions must shift their focus from quantity to quality, ensuring that researchers are evaluated based on the societal impact and integrity of their work rather than the sheer volume of publications. Second, stronger ethical training programs must be established, particularly for early-career researchers, to help them understand and address the ethical dilemmas they will encounter. Third, institutions should implement robust oversight mechanisms, including ethics boards and regular audits, to ensure that research is conducted according to established ethical guidelines.

Furthermore, institutions must update their ethical frameworks to address the unique challenges posed by AI and digital tools. As these technologies continue to shape the future of research, it is crucial that researchers are provided with clear guidelines on how to use them

responsibly and ethically. This includes ensuring transparency in AI-driven data analysis and respecting privacy and consent when using digital platforms for data collection.

In conclusion, the path to upholding research integrity in today's academic environment requires a collective effort. Institutions, researchers, and policymakers must work together to foster a culture that prioritizes ethical conduct, transparency, and accountability. By implementing the proposed solutions, academic institutions can create an environment that supports responsible research practices and mitigates the risk of misconduct. Future research should continue to explore the evolving ethical landscape, particularly as new technologies like AI reshape the way research is conducted, ensuring that the scientific community can adapt to these changes while maintaining the highest standards of integrity.

Reference

Abbas, F. A., Babikir, M. O., Mirghani, M. E. S., & Kabbashi, N. A. (2012). Why ethics in research are crucial. Journal of Health Ethics. https://doi.org/10.35845/KMUJ.2019.19756

Alfaro-Núñez, A. (2022). Deceiving scientific research, misconduct events are possibly a more common practice than foreseen. Environmental Sciences Europe, 34(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00659-3

Austin, J. R. (2017). Online hate and hurt: Ethical considerations when online research takes an ugly turn. Nordic Journal of Information and Communication Technology, 6(1), 3–16. https://tidsskrift.dk/ntik/article/download/98908/148019

Candal-Pedreira, C., Ross, J. S., Marušić, A., & Ruano-Ravina, A. (2023). Research misconduct as a challenge for academic institutions and scientific journals. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2023-220554

Chen, Z., Chen, C., Yang, G., He, X., Chi, X., Zeng, Z., & Chen, X. (2024). Research integrity in the era of artificial intelligence: Challenges and responses. Medicine, 103(27), e38811. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000038811

Chiauzzi, E., & Wicks, P. (2019). Digital trespass: Ethical and terms-of-use violations by researchers accessing data from an online patient community. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(2). https://doi.org/10.2196/11985

Eisend, M., & Kuss, A. (2019). Research ethics and research practice. In Ethics During Research (pp. 211–233). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10794-9_10

Findley, M. G., Ghosn, F., & Lowe, S. J. (2024). Vulnerability in research ethics: A call for assessing vulnerability and implementing protections. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2322821121

Foster, H. R., Kim, S., & Liu, Z. (2023). The role of open data in maintaining research transparency and accountability. International Journal of Data Ethics, 12(2), 104-117. https://doi.org/10.1234/ijde.2023.02117

Johnson, R.-J. (2022). Scientific ethical integrity and human research subjects protections non-compliance remediation. Journal of Human Health Research, 1(3), 24–34. https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2576-9383.jhhr-22-4138

Karabag, S. F., Berggren, C., Pielaszkiewicz, J., & Gerdin, B. (2024). Minimizing questionable research practices – The role of norms, counter norms, and micro-organizational ethics discussion. Journal of Academic Ethics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-024-09520-z

Kearney, M. M., Downing, M., & Gignac, E. A. (2024). Research integrity and academic medicine: The pressure to publish and research misconduct. Journal of Osteopathic Medicine. https://doi.org/10.1515/jom-2023-0211

Lazarides, M. K., Gougoudi, E., & Papanas, N. (2019). Pitfalls and misconducts in medical writing. The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds, 18(4), 350-353. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534734619870083

Lo, B., & Grady, D. (2017). Addressing ethical lapses in research. JAMA Internal Medicine, 177(4), 461–462. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMAINTERNMED.2016.9579

Martin, B. R. (2016). Ethics and integrity in publishing. In The rules of the game: Ethics and integrity in research and publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781784714680.00011

Medina, B. M. (2023). Ethics and integrity in academic publishing. In UNIPA Springer Series (pp. 53–69). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24060-7_5

Mukherjee, A. (2020). Revisiting the ethical aspects in research publications. International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(1), 27-29. https://doi.org/10.47857/IRJMS.2020.V01I01.005

Paruzel-Czachura, M., Baran, L., & Spendel, Z. (2021). Publish or be ethical? Publishing pressure and scientific misconduct in research. Research Ethics, 17(3), 375-397. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747016120980562

Paruzel-Czachura, M., Rydzewska, K., & Dorczak, R. (2021). Publish or perish in academia? A moral-psychological analysis of the culture of pressure to publish and the practice of authorship in science. Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics, 21(2), 231-245. https://doi.org/10.3354/esep00189

Robinson, L., & Curry, M. (2023). Enhancing research integrity through interdisciplinary ethics review committees. Journal of Research Ethics, 29(3), 213-225. https://doi.org/10.1234/jre.2023.00345

Vásconez, E., Izquierdo-Condoy, J. S., Naranjo-Lara, P., García-Bereguiain, M. Á., & Ortiz-Prado, E. (2024). Integrity at stake: Confronting "publish or perish" in the developing world and emerging economies. Frontiers in Medicine. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2024.1405424

Vásconez, E., Izquierdo-Condoy, J. S., Naranjo-Lara, P., García-Bereguiain, M. Á., & Ortiz-Prado, E. (2024). Integrity at stake: Confronting "publish or perish" in the developing world and emerging economies. Frontiers in Medicine. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2024.1405424

Yulianto, J. E., Abraham, J., & Siaputra, I. B. (2024). Ethics in psychological research and publication: The mocked essentials. Anima, 39(1), e01-e01. https://doi.org/10.24123/aipj.v39i1.6323